

Kondou teaches no such system. This is particularly clear from the specification and in particular Figure 9 which corresponds to the textual material cited by the Examiner. Block S216 in Figure 9 indicates that the user refers to situation information on a point on the route to destination during traveling. This is clear from the dotted line under the heading "user." Note the sharp right turn from block S214 which indicates an action was done by the mobile terminal. It is very clear that the reference to information on a point on the route to travel to the destination during traveling is done by the user and not by any processor-based system. In other words, the user must riffle through the accumulated downloaded information in order to determine what information is pertinent at any given position.

In contrast with the claimed invention, the processor-based system sorts the information based on appended position information and presents that information when the vehicle is proximate to a location associated with the information.

It is believed that the advantages over the structure disclosed in the Kondou reference are dramatic and significant. Therefore, the §102 rejection based on Kondou should be reconsidered.


In view of these remarks, the application is now in condition for allowance and the Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

As a courtesy to the Examiner, it is noted that there is an omission in the Examiner's rejection in paragraph 6, line 9.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

11/21/01



Timothy N. Trop

Registration No. 28,994

TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.

8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100

Houston, Texas 77024-1805

(713) 468-8880 [Phone]

(713) 468-8883 [Fax]



21906

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE